

A Fixed Point Theorem for Multivalued Mapping in Uniform Space

Bijendra Singh¹, G.P.S Rathore², Priyanka Dubey³, Naval Singh⁴

¹Professor & Dean, School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain;

²Sr. Scientist, K.N.K Horticulture College, Mandsaur (M.P);

³Research Scholar, School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain (M.P);

⁴ Govt. Science and Commerce College, Benazeer, Bhopal, (M.P);

Abstract – The aim of this paper to prove a fixed point theorem for multivalued mapping in orbitally complete Hausdorff uniform space and hyperspace. These results generalize several corresponding relations in uniform space.

Keywords: Fixed point, uniform space, multivalued mapping, orbitally complete,

I. Introduction

The well known Banach fixed point theorem for contraction mapping has been generalized and extended in many direction. Acharya[1], Mishra and Singh[2], Turkoglu and Fisher[3], have given many interesting results of fixed point theory in uniform space. Our results generalize the corresponding result of Badshah and Sharma[4]. Since the uniform space form a natural extension of the metric space, there exists considerable literature of fixed point theory dealing with results on fixed point or common fixed points in uniform spaces (see for ex. [5]-[9]).

II. Definition

II.1. Definition 1.1 [4]

A uniformity for a set X is a non-void family U of subsets of $X \times X$ such that:

- (1.1.1) each member of U contains the diagonal Δ ,
- (1.1.2) if $u \in U$ then $u^{-1} \in U$,
- (1.1.3) if $u \in U$ then $v \circ v \subseteq u$, for some $v \in U$
- (1.1.4) if u and v are members of U , then $u \cap v \in U$ and
- (1.1.5) if $u \in U$ and $u \subseteq v \subseteq X \times X$, then $v \in U$.

The pair (X, U) is called a uniform space.

II.2. Definition 1.2 [3]

Let (X, U) be a uniform space. family $\{d_i : i \in I\}$ of pseudometric on X with indexing set I , is called an associated family for the uniformity U if the family

$$\beta = \{V(i, \varepsilon) : i \in I; \varepsilon > 0\},$$

Where,

$$V(i, \varepsilon) = \{(x, y) : x, y \in X, d_i(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$$

is the sub-base for the uniformity U . We may assume that β itself is a base by adjoining finite intersection of members of β , if necessary. The corresponding family of pseudometric is called an associated family for U . An associated family for U will be denoted by p^* .

II.3. Definition 1.3 [4]

Let A be a nonempty subset of a uniform space X . Define

$$\Delta^*(A) = \sup\{d_i(x, y) : x, y \in A, i \in I\},$$

Where

$$\{d_i : i \in I\} = p^*,$$

Then Δ^* is called an augmented diameter of A . Further, A is said to be p^* -bounded if $\Delta^*(A) < \infty$.

IV.4. Definition.1.4 [3]

Let $2^X = \{A: A \text{ is a nonempty, Closed and } p^* \text{-bounded subset of } X\}$. For any nonempty subsets A and B of X , define

$$d_i(X, A) = \inf \{d_i(x, a) : a \in A, i \in I\}$$

$$H_i(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} d_i(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} d_i(A, b) \right\}$$

$$= \sup_{x \in X} \{d_i(x, A) - d_i(x, B)\}.$$

It is well known that on 2^X , H_i is a pseudometric, called the Hausdorff pseudometric induced by d_i , $i \in I$.

V.5. Definition.1.5 [3]

Let (X, U) be a uniform space with an augmented associated family p^* . p^* also induces a uniformity U^* on 2^X defined by the base

$$\beta^* = \{V^*(i, \varepsilon) : i \in I, \varepsilon > 0\},$$

Where

$$V^*(i, \varepsilon) = \{(A, B) : A, B \in 2^X, H_i(A, B) < \varepsilon\}.$$

The space $(2^X, U^*)$ is a uniform space called the hyperspace of (X, U) .

VI.6. Definition.1.6 [3]

The collection of all filters on a given set X is denoted by $\phi(X)$. An order relation is define on $\phi(X)$ by the rule $F_1 < F_2$ if $F_1 \supset F_2$. If $F^* < F$, then F^* is called a sub-filter of F .

VII.7. Definition.1.7 [3]

Let (X, U) be a uniform space defined by $\{d_i : i \in I\} = p^*$. If $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$ is a multivalued mapping, then

- (i) $x \in X$ is called a fixed point of F if $x \in Fx$.
- (ii) An orbit of F at a point $x_0 \in X$ is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ given by

$$O(F, x_0) = \{x_n : x_n \in Fx_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots\};$$

- (iii) A uniform space X is called F -orbitally complete if every Cauchy filter which is a subfilter of an orbit of F at each $x \in X$ converges to a point of X .

VIII.8. Definition.1.8 [3]

Let (X, U) be a uniform space and let $F : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. A single valued mapping F is orbitally continuous if $\lim(T^n x) = u$ implies $T(T^n x) = Tu$ for each $x \in X$.

III. Main Results

III.1. Theorem.1.1

Let (X, U) be an F -orbitally complete Hausdorff uniform space defined by $\{d_i : i \in I\} = p^*$ and $(2^X, U^*)$ a hyperspace and let $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a continuous mapping with Fx compact for each $x \in X$. Assume that

$$\min \{H_i(Fx, Fy)^r, d_i(x, Fx)d_i(y, Fy)^{r-1}, d_i(y, Fy)^r\} +$$

$$a_i \min \left\{ \frac{d_i(x, Fy)d_i(y, Fx)}{d_i(x, y)}, \frac{d_i(Fx, Fy)d_i(y, Fx)}{d_i(x, y)} \right\}$$

$$\leq \left[b_i d_i(x, Fx) + c_i d_i(x, y) + e_i d_i(y, Fy) \right] \frac{1 + d_i(x, Fy)}{1 + d_i(x, y)} \Bigg] d_i(y, Fy)^{r-1}$$

... (1)

For all $i \in I$ and $x, y \in X$, where $r \geq 1$ is an integer a_i, b_i, c_i, e_i are real numbers such that $0 < b_i + c_i < 1$ then F has a fixed point.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in X and consider the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by

$$x_1 \in Fx_0, x_2 \in Fx_1, \dots, x_n \in Fx_{n-1}, \dots$$

Let us suppose that $d_i(x_n, Fx_n) > 0$ for each $i \in I$ and $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Let $U' \in U$ be an arbitrary entourage. Since β is a base for U , there exists $V(i, \varepsilon) \in \beta$ such that $V(i, \varepsilon) \subseteq U'$. Now $y \rightarrow d_i(x_0, y)$ is continuous on the compact set Fx_0 and this implies that there exists $x_1 \in Fx_0$ such that $d_i(x_0, x_1) = d_i(x_0, Fx_0)$. Similarly, Fx_1 is compact so there exists $x_2 \in Fx_1$ such that $d_i(x_1, x_2) = d_i(x_1, Fx_1)$. Continuing, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_{n+1} \in Fx_n$ and $d_i(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d_i(x_n, Fx_n)$.

For $x = x_{n-1}$, and $y = x_n$ by the condition (1), we have

$$\min\{H_i(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n)^r, d_i(x_{n-1}, Fx_{n-1})d_i(x_n, Fx_{n-1})^{r-1}, d_i(x_n, Fx_n)^r\} \\ + a_i \min\left\{\frac{d_i(x_{n-1}, Fx_n)d_i(x_n, Fx_{n-1})}{d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, \frac{d_i(Fx_{n-1}, Fx_n)d_i(x_n, Fx_{n-1})}{d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)}\right\} \\ \leq \left[bd_i(x_{n-1}, Fx_n) + cd_i(x_{n-1}, x_n) + ed_i(x_n, Fx_{n-1})\left(\frac{1+d_i(x_{n-1}, Fx_n)}{1+d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)}\right)\right]d_i(x_n, Fx_n)^{r-1}$$

Since $d_i(x_n, Fx_{n-1}) = 0, x_n \in Fx_{n-1}$, hence we have

$$\min\{d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^r, d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^{r-1}\} \leq \\ [bd_i(x_{n-1}, x_n) + c_i d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)]d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^{r-1}$$

It follows that:-

$$\min\{d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^r, d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^{r-1}\} \leq \\ [(b_i + c_i)d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)]d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^{r-1}$$

Since

$$d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^{r-1} \leq \\ [(b_i + c_i)d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)]d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^{r-1} \text{ is not possible}$$

(as $0 < b_i + c_i < 1$), we have

$$d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^r \leq [b_i + c_i]d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^{r-1} \\ d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})^r \leq k_i d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n)d_i(x_n, x_{n+1})$$

Where,

$$k = b_i + c_i \quad 0 < k_i < 1$$

Proceeding in this manner, we get

$$d_i(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k_i d_i(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ \leq k_i^2 d_i(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) \leq \dots \leq k_i^n d_i(x_0, x_1).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$d_i(x_n, x_m) \leq d_i(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_i(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + d_i(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ \leq (k_i^n + k_i^{n+1} + \dots + k_i^{m-1})d_i(x_0, x_1) \\ \leq k_i^n (1 + k_i + \dots + k_i^{m-n-1})d_i(x_0, x_1) \\ \leq \frac{k_i^n}{1-k} d_i(x_0, x_1).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_i^n = 0$, it follows that there exists $N(i, \epsilon)$

such that $d_i(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ and hence $(x_n, x_m) \in U'$ for all $n, m \geq N(i, \epsilon)$. Therefore the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the d_i -uniformity on X .

Let $S_p = \{x_n : n \geq p\}$ for all positive integers p and let β be the filter basis $\{S_p : p = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then since $\{x_n\}$ is a d_i -Cauchy sequence for each $i \in I$, it is easy to see that the filter basis β is a Cauchy filter in the uniform space (X, U) . To see this, we first note that

the family $\{V(i, \epsilon) : i \in I\}$ is a base for U as $p^* = \{d_i : i \in I\}$. Now since $\{x_n\}$ is a d_i -Cauchy sequence in X , there exists a positive integer p such that $d_i(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ for $m \geq p, n \geq p$. This implies that $S_p \times S_p \subseteq V(i, \epsilon)$. Thus, given any $U' \in U$, we can find an $S_p \in \beta$ such that $S_p \times S_p \subset U'$. Hence β is Cauchy filter in (X, U) . Since (X, U) is F-orbitally complete and Hausdorff space, $S_p \rightarrow z$ for some $z \in X$. Consequently, $F(S_p) \rightarrow Fz$. Also

$$S_{p+1} \subset F(S_p) = \cup\{Fx_n : n \geq p\}$$

For $p = 1, 2, \dots$ it follows that $z \in Fz$. Hence z is a fixed point of F . This completes the proof.

If we take $r = 1$ in Theorem .1, then we obtain the following theorem.

III.2.Theorem1.2

Let (X, U) be an F-orbitally complete Hausdorff

$$a_i \min\left\{\frac{d_i(z, Tw)d_i(w, Tz)}{d_i(z, w)}, \frac{d_i(Tz, Tw)d_i(w, Tz)}{d_i(z, w)}\right\}$$

uniform space defined by $\{d_i : i \in I\} = p^*$ and $(2^X, U^*)$

a hyperspace and let $F : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a continuous mapping with Fx compact for each $x \in X$. Assume that

$$\min\{H_i(Fx, Fy), d_i(x, Fx), d_i(y, Fy)\} +$$

$$a_i \min\left\{\frac{d_i(x, Fy)d_i(y, Fx)}{d_i(x, y)}, \frac{d_i(Fx, Fy)d_i(y, Fx)}{d_i(x, y)}\right\}$$

$$\leq \left[b_i d_i(x, Fx) + c_i d_i(x, y) + e_i d_i(y, Fx) \left(\frac{1 + d_i(x, Fy)}{1 + d_i(x, y)} \right) \right]. \quad (2)$$

For all $i \in I$ and $x, y \in X$, where a_i, b_i, c_i, e_i are real numbers such that $0 < b_i + c_i < 1$, then F has a fixed point.

We denote that if F is a single valued mapping on X , then we can write

$$d_i(Fx, Fy) = H_i(Fx, Fy), x, y \in X, i \in I.$$

Thus we obtain the following theorem as a consequence of the Theorem (2).

III.3.3 Theorem 1.3

Let (X, U) be a \mathbf{T} -orbitally complete Hausdorff uniform space let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a \mathbf{T} orbitally continuous mapping satisfying

$$\min \{d_i(Tx, Ty), d_i(x, Tx), d_i(y, Ty)\} + a_i \min \left\{ \frac{d_i(x, Ty)d_i(y, Tx)}{d_i(x, y)}, \frac{d_i(Tx, Ty)d_i(y, Ty)}{d_i(x, y)} \right\} \leq \left[b_i d_i(x, Tx) + c_i d_i(x, y) + e_i d_i(y, Ty) \right] \left\{ \frac{1 + d_i(x, Ty)}{1 + d_i(x, y)} \right\} \dots(3)$$

for all $i \in I$ and $x, y \in X$, where a_i, b_i, c_i, e_i are real numbers such that $0 < b_i + c_i < 1$, then \mathbf{T} has a fixed point and which is unique whenever $a_i > c_i + e_i > 0$

Proof:- Define a mapping F of X into X by putting $Fx = \{T_i x\}$ for all x in X . It follows that F satisfies the condition of Th.2. Hence \mathbf{T} has a fixed point.

Now if $a_i > c_i + e_i > 0$, we show that \mathbf{T} has a unique fixed point. Assume that \mathbf{T} has two fixed point z and w which are distinct. Since $d_i(z, T_i z) = 0$ and $d_i(w, T_i w) = 0$, then by the condition (3),

$$a_i \min \left\{ \frac{d_i(z, Tw)d_i(w, Tz)}{d_i(z, w)}, \frac{d_i(Tz, Tw)d_i(w, Tz)}{d_i(z, w)} \right\} \leq \left[b_i d_i(z, Tz) + c_i d_i(z, w) + e_i d_i(w, Tz) \right] \left\{ \frac{1 + d_i(x, Tw)}{1 + d_i(x, w)} \right\} a_i \min \left\{ \frac{d_i(z, w)d_i(w, z)}{d_i(z, w)}, \frac{d_i(z, w)d_i(w, z)}{d_i(z, w)} \right\} \leq \left[b_i d_i(z, z) + c_i d_i(z, w) + e_i d_i(w, z) \right] \left\{ \frac{1 + d_i(x, w)}{1 + d_i(x, w)} \right\}$$

$$a_i \min \{d_i(z, w), d_i(w, z)\} \leq (c_i + e_i) d_i(z, w)$$

$$a_i d_i(z, w) \leq (c_i + e_i) d_i(z, w)$$

$$d_i(z, w) \leq \frac{(c_i + e_i)}{a_i} d_i(z, w)$$

This is impossible. Thus if $a_i > c_i + e_i > 0$. Then \mathbf{T} has a unique fixed point in X . This completes the proof.

Reference

- [1] S. P. Acharya, Some results on fixed point in uniform space, *Yokohama Math.J.* XXII(1) (1974)105-116.
- [2] S. N. Mishra and S. N. Singh, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* 77, 323-329, 1985.
- [3] D. Turkoglu and B. Fisher, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (math. Sci)* 113, No.2, 183-187, 2003.
- [4] V. H. Badshah and Yogita R. Sharma, *Journal of the Indian Math. Soc.* 78, 09-13, 2011.
- [5] B. C. Dhage, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 16(3), 245-256, 1985.
- [6] B. E. Rhoades, *Pub. De L'Ins. Math.* 25, 153-156, 1979.
- [7] B. K. Sharma, *Cal. Math. Soc.* 82, 533-536, 1990.
- [8] D. Turkoglu, O. Ozer and B. Fisher, *Demonstration Math.* 2, 395-400, 1999.